



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of

Soo-Min Byun et al.

Group Art Unit: 1762

Application No. 10/757,411

Examiner: Talbot, Brian K

Filed: January 15, 2004

For: PAD COATING SYSTEM AND INTERLOCK METHOD THEREOF

RESPONSE TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Amendment
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria VA 22314

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of March 1, 2007, Applicants elect the invention of Group I, Claims 1-6. The election is with traverse.

Applicants traverse the rejection on the grounds that the Examiner has misinterpreted the alleged Group II claims, namely, claims 7-11, and has therefore not established grounds for restriction. In particular, the Examiner characterizes claim 7-11 as drawn to a “method of pad coating.” In fact, however, claims 7-11 are directed to an “*interlock method for a pad coating system*.” A method of pad coating is not claimed.

As correctly noted by the Examiner, claims 1-6 are directed to a “pad coating system”.

For at least the reasons stated above, Applicants respectfully request that the restriction requirement be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

VOLENTINE & WHITT, PLLC



Adam C. Volentine
Registration No. 33,289

Customer No. 20987
One Freedom Square
11951 Freedom Drive, Suite 1260
Reston VA 21090
Tel. (571) 283-0720
Fax (571) 283-0740

Date: April 2, 2007